

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,046	09/05/2003	Jake J. Crowley		9378
7590 04/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Raymond M. Galasso			SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
Simon, Galasso & Frantz PLC P.O. Box 26503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Austin, TX 78755-0503			
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,046	CROWLEY, JAKE J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears n the c ver sheet with	th correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state the period of the period of the period by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Appriority documents have been releau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	 □	(TTO 110)
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6,8-10, 12-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brubaker (U. S. Patent no. 5,735,367).

See figures shopping cart handle 30 with pivoting member 52,54, brake force apparatus 31, cable 56

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brubaker in view of deGoma (U. S. Patent no. 6,296,261).

Brubaker discloses all the limitations of the claims as discussed above but does not disclose the resilient member attached to the handle. DeGoma teaches the resilient member in a cart in order to return the handle to its at rest position (se figure 8 spring 35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach a spring to return the handle to its at rest position as

Application/Control Number: 10/656,046 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

taught by deGoma in the system of Brubaker as such is merely a design choice.

Brubaker discloses attaching the spring to the brake which accomplishes the same

function. The instant invention also discloses attaching the spring to the brake as a

design alternative.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brubaker

in view of Mennesson (U. S. Patent no. 2,699,228).

Brubaker discloses all the limitations of the claim except for a clamp caliper. Mennesson

teaches a clamp caliper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to use a clamp caliper as taught by Mennesson in the

system of Brubaker as such is merely a design choice. Clamp Calipers are old and well

known means of braking.

Examiner has assumed that the term clamping caliper refers to the embodiment in

figure 2 only.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,046

Art Unit: 3683

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconolfi

Page 4

Art Unit 3683

RS